

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/975,029	10/10/2001		Thomas Jaskiewicz	SMQ-075	7607	
959	7590	07/21/2004		EXAMINER		
LAHIVE &	COCKF	TELD, LLP.	ROBINSON, GRETA LEE			
28 STATE S	· ·-			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, N	//A 0210	19		AKI,ONII	FAFER NUMBER	
				2177	(O	
•				DATE MAIL ED. 07/21/200	DATE MAIL ED: 07/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7

					_
		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	$\gamma$
1		09/975,0	09/975,029 JASKIEWICZ, THO		MAS
Office .	Action Summary	Examine	er	Art Unit	•
			Robinson	2177	
The MAILI Period for Reply	NG DATE of this commun	ication appears on th	ne cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time ma after SIX (6) MONTHS  - If the period for reply in the period for reply in the period for reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD F ATE OF THIS COMMUNI by be available under the provisions from the mailing date of this comm specified above is less than thirty (3 is specified above, the maximum sta- the set or extended period for reply the Office later than three months a ljustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no enunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the approximation.	event, however, may a reply be to atutory minimum of thirty (30) da will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communicatio  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.
Status					
1) Responsive	e to communication(s) file	ed on <i>12 May 2004</i> .			
2a)⊠ This action		2b) This action is	non-final.		
		<i>'</i> —	•	rosecution as to the merits is	s
·	ccordance with the practi				
Disposition of Claim	ıs				
4a) Of the a 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s)	22 is/are pending in the above claim(s) is/a is/are allowed. 22 is/are rejected. 33 is/are objected to. 34 are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from co			
Application Papers				•	
9)☐ The specific	ation is objected to by the	e Examiner.			
10)∭ The drawing	(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b	) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant ma	y not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
		· ·		bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(	.(t
	•	by the Examiner. N	iote the attached Offici	e Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119				
a) All b) 1. Certif 2. Certif 3. Copic applic	ment is made of a claim Some * c) None of: fied copies of the priority fied copies of the priority es of the certified copies cation from the Internation ched detailed Office action	documents have be documents have be of the priority docum nal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applica ents have been receiv lle 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1)   Notice of Reference:	s Cited (PTO 802)		4) Intention Summer	v (PTO 412)	
_	s Cited (P10-892) on's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D		
_	re Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or	·		Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/975,029

Art Unit: 2177

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Claims 1-22 are pending in the present application.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 13-15 and 18-19 have been amended.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brocker et al. US Patent 5,365,606.

Regarding claims 1-4, Brocker et al. teaches in a network having a host electronic device and a plurality of storage devices with a storage mediums [note: figure 3; and abstract and column 2 lines 45-51], a method, comprising the steps of:

"providing a plurality of controllers interfaced with said network that control access to said storage devices" [see: figure 4 group controllers 74a and 74b; column 7 lines 12-33]; and "providing a virtual interface" [note column 3 lines 10-50 virtual interface system 30]; "receiving with said virtual interface at least one of a data read requests and a write request" [note column 2 line 57 through column 3 line 7; column 5

Application/Control Number: 09/975,029

Art Unit: 2177

line 50 through column 6 line 34]; "determining with said virtual interface a destination" [note: figures 3 and 4; also see column 5 line 50 through column 6 line 34].

Brocker et al. teaches a virtual interface (note system 30) with controllers (74a and 74b). The virtual interface manages requests for reading and writing data note VMI 42.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 13-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Grun US Patent 6,272,591 B2.

Regarding claim 13, Grun teaches "a software facility for creating a virtual interface" [note: "creates N virtual interface" abstract; also note generate N virtual channels step 120 figure 2; column 4 lines 43-48; column 3 lines 47-54].

Regarding claims 14-16, sending said at least one read/write request to said virtual interface ... [note: column 4 lines 6-37].

The limitations of claims 19, 21 and 22 have been addressed above; therefore they are rejected under the same rationale.

Regarding claims 17, 18 and 20 Grun teaches the software facility copies said data to a different storage medium [note: write each data block stored in N locations in RAID Controller memory to a different one of N storage devices, step 150 figure 2; also see column 3 line 65 through column 4 line 2].

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 5-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brocker et al. US Patent 5,365,606 in view of Grun US Patent 6,272,591 B2.

Although Broker et al. teaches the invention substantially, regarding claims 5-12 they do not explicitly disclose that the controller is a RAID. **Grun** teaches a RAID device coupled to a virtual interface [note column 2 lines 25-31; figures 1 and 2;also note abstract]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to have combined Grun with Brocker et al. because the RAID controller of Grun would provide for receiving I/O requests.

## Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

In the response applicant argued that the response and amendment of the claims overcomes the rejections cited under 35 USC 112 first and second paragraphs. In response to the amendment and remarks the previous rejections are overcome; however a new rejection is cited referencing prior art Brocker et al. and Grun.

#### Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Oheda US Patent 6,754,679 B2

Cork et al. US Patent 6,542,910 B2

Black et al. US Patent 6,671,699 B1

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

-Application/Control Number: 09/975,029

Art Unit: 2177

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Greta L. Robinson whose telephone number is (703) 308-7565. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E. Breene can be reached on (703) 305-9790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

·Application/Control Number: 09/975,029

Art Unit: 2177

GRETA ROBINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Greta Robinson Primary Examiner July 19, 2004